Senate



General Assembly

File No. 478

January Session, 2005

Substitute Senate Bill No. 923

Senate, April 21, 2005

The Committee on Government Administration and Elections reported through SEN. DEFRONZO of the 6th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING COST SAVINGS THROUGH ADOPTION OF HIGH PERFORMANCE ENERGY EFFICIENT GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

1 Section 1. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2005) (a) Notwithstanding any

2 provision of the general statutes, any new construction of a facility that

is projected to cost not less than one million dollars, for which not less

4 than twenty-five per cent of the costs are financed with state funds,

5 shall comply with the regulations adopted pursuant to subsection (b)

6 of this section. The Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management,

7 in consultation with the Commissioner of Public Works, may exempt

8 any facility from complying with said regulations if said secretary and

9 commissioner both find, in a written analysis, that the cost of such

10 compliance significantly outweighs the benefits. For purposes of this

11 section, "facility" means any building, including, but not limited to, a

state-financed housing project or a building that is used or intended to

sSB923 / File No. 478

13 be used as a school.

14 (b) Not later than January 1, 2006, the Secretary of the Office of 15 Policy and Management, in consultation with the Commissioner of 16 Public Works, the Commissioner of Environmental Protection and the 17 Commissioner of Public Safety, shall adopt regulations, in accordance 18 with the provisions of chapter 54 of the general statutes, that are 19 consistent with or exceed the silver building rating of the Leadership 20 in Energy and Environmental Design's rating system for new 21 commercial construction and major renovation projects, as established 22 by the United States Green Building Council, or an equivalent 23 standard, including, but not limited to, a two-globe rating in the Green 24 Globes USA design program, and thereafter update such regulations 25 as the secretary deems necessary.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following						
sections:						
Section 1	October 1, 2005	New section				

ENV Joint Favorable Subst. C/R GAE

GAE Joint Favorable Subst.

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either House thereof for any purpose:

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 06 \$	FY 07 \$
Policy & Mgmt., Off.	GF - Cost	Minimal	Minimal
Treasurer, Debt Serv.	GF - Cost	Potential	Potential
		Significant	Significant
Treasurer, Debt Serv.	TFBonds - Cost	Potential	Potential
		Significant	Significant
All agencies with care & control	GF - Savings	None	Potential
of their buildings; Pub. Works,			Significant
Dept.; Judicial Dept.; UConn			
Pub. Works, Dept.; Department of	GF - Cost	None	None
Environmental Protection; Public			
Safety, Dept.			

Note: GF=General Fund; TFBonds=Transportation Fund bonds

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 06 \$	FY 07 \$
Various Municipalities	STATE	Potential	Potential
	MANDATE	Significant	Significant
	- Cost		
Various Municipalities	Savings	None	Potential
			Significant

Explanation

The bill requires the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management (OPM), in consultation with the Commissioners of the Department of Environmental Protection and Public Safety, to adopt regulations that are consistent with or exceed the standards as established by the United States Green Building Council. The bill also allows OPM, in consultation with the Department of Public Works (DPW), to exempt any buildings from the new regulations when the cost of compliance significantly outweighs the benefits. Therefore, it is anticipated that these additional construction costs will only be incurred in cases where the operational savings exceed the cost, over

the life of the building. It is anticipated that OPM will incur minimal costs from adopting regulations and performing cost/benefit analyses of new construction projects. The Department of Environmental Protection, the Department of Public Safety and the Department of Public Works will incur a minimal workload increases due to consultations, which are anticipated to be within existing resources.

The bill requires that any building cost \$1 million or more and is financed with at least 25% in state funds comply with the regulations adopted by OPM. This is expected to result in net savings to the state in future years that are potentially significant. Increases in capital construction costs for new buildings would be offset by savings in the operating costs of these buildings over their lifetime. It is estimated that the costs of constructing office buildings could increase by 5% to 10%, while the cost for Department of Transportation projects, such as maintenance facilities, could increase by 10% or more. Since building construction is financed with bond funds, this increase in construction costs would result in potentially significant increase in General Fund and Transportation Fund debt service costs.

The additional construction costs could be offset by savings in the operations of the new buildings over their lifetime, especially in heating and ventilation costs. These savings are estimated by industry sources to be up to 30% of annual utility costs. Any General Fund operating budget savings would be achieved through the Department of Public Works, the Judicial Department, the University of Connecticut and any agency with care and control if its buildings. Any Transportation Fund operating budget savings would be achieved through the Department of Transportation and the Department of Motor Vehicles.

In the case of school construction projects, passage of this bill could substantially increase the state's costs for projects where the state's share of construction costs is at least 25%. It should be noted that the operating cost savings for these buildings would accrue to the municipalities. Since school construction projects are financed with

General Fund bond funds, this increase in construction costs would result in a potentially significant increase in General Fund debt service costs. (The state normally provides between 20% and 80% of the construction cost for school building projects and magnet schools receive 100% reimbursement.)

Municipal

The bill establishes the same requirements for all new construction of buildings when at least 25% of the costs are provided by state funds. OPM, in consultation with DPW, would be able to exempt any buildings from these new standards when the cost of compliance significantly outweighs the benefits. Therefore, the increases in school construction costs for towns would be offset by potentially significant savings in the operating costs of these buildings over their lifetime. It is a State Mandate since it would increase capital construction costs.

OLR Bill Analysis

sSB 923

AN ACT CONCERNING COST SAVINGS THROUGH ADOPTION OF HIGH PERFORMANCE ENERGY EFFICIENT GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS

SUMMARY:

This bill requires certain state-financed new facility construction to comply with green building regulations, if it is (1) projected to cost at least \$1 million, and (2) receive at least 25% state financing. The bill requires the Office of Policy and Management (OPM), with the commissioners of the Public Works (DPW), Environmental Protection, and Public Safety departments to develop regulations by January 1, 2006 that are consistent with the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design's (LEED) rating system silver building or higher rating for new commercial construction and major renovation projects, or an equivalent standard, including a two-globe rating in the Green Globes USA design program.

The OPM secretary, in consultation with the DPW commissioner, may exempt any facility from complying with the regulations, if they find, in a written analysis, that compliance costs significantly outweigh the benefits. The OPM secretary may update the regulations as he sees fit.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2005

FACILITY

Under the bill, "facility" means any building, including a state-financed housing project or a building that is used or intended to be used as a school.

BACKGROUND

LEED and United States Green Building Council (USGBC)

The LEED Rating System, a program of the USGBC, is a self-assessing system designed for rating new and existing commercial, institutional,

and high-rise residential buildings. Different levels of green building certification are awarded based on the total credits earned.

USGBC is comprised of organizations that promote environmentally sound buildings and adoption of green building practices, technologies, policies, and standards.

Green Globes USA and the Green Building Initiative

Green Globes is a web-based, green building audit tool from Canada. It consists of questionnaires for each phase of a project and person involved in the design process (e.g., architect, mechanical engineer, or landscape architect). Scores give the percentage of points for meeting best energy and environmental design practices and standards.

The Green Building Initiative, a not-for-profit U.S. organization, promotes Green Globes USA and is supported by groups and individuals interested in promoting energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable practices in residential and commercial construction.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Environment Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Change of Reference Yea 26 Nay 0

Government Administration and Elections Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 20 Nay 0